



Montgomery Township
Zoning Hearing Board
Agenda – April 2012

Meeting Date: April 3, 2012

The agenda for the scheduled hearing is as follows:

1. **103 Aspen Place #12030001** - The applicant requests a variance from the provisions of Section 230-33149B(2) of the Code of Montgomery Township in order to construct an 880 square foot 3-car detached garage in the front yard set back 111 feet from the street.
2. **129 Richardson Road #12030002** - The applicant requests a variance from the provisions of Section 230-33C(2) of the Code of Montgomery Township to permit the construction of two additions encroaching 13 feet into the side yard setback along Crystal Road. The required set back is 40 feet.
3. **782 Bethlehem Pike – North Wales Crossing Associates / Goodman Properties #12030003**
The applicant requests variances from the provisions of Section 230-127A94)(b)[2] of the Code of Montgomery Township in order to increase the size of the existing freestanding sign along Bethlehem Pike to 138 square feet and to install a new 20 foot tall, 100 square foot freestanding sign set back 15 feet from the edge of the roadway at the North Wales Road entrance.

ZHB Special Hearings

8th Meeting Date: April 24, 2012 postponed to May 22, 2012

The agenda for the scheduled hearing is as follows:

Airport Square Shopping Associates - Application #10110003 - NOTICE IS

GIVEN that the Montgomery Township Zoning Hearing Board will hold a hearing at the Township Building located at 1001 Stump Road, Montgomeryville, PA commencing at 7:30 pm on Tuesday, October 25, 2011. The Board will consider a challenge to the substantive validity of the Montgomery Township Zoning Ordinance of 1952 filed by Airport Square Shopping Associates, L.P., concerning property identified as tax parcel #46-00-01340-009 located at the intersection of Horsham Road and North Wales Road. The challenge is limited to an approximately 24-acre portion of said property located in an LI District. Appellant asserts that the Zoning Ordinance is arbitrary and irrational and constitutes illegal spot zoning, rendering the provisions of the Zoning Ordinance unconstitutional as applied to this property.